

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/743,173	01/14/2002	Michela Seveso	P24376 USA	5272	
Patrick J Kelly	7590 04/18/2007		EXAM	IINER	
Synnestvedt & Lechner			ANGELL, JON E		
2600 Aramark 1101 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1635		
				·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/743,173	SEVESO ET AL.	SEVESO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jon Eric Angell	1635		

	Jon Eric Angell	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	136(a) and the annuaria	la audamaian fan
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	DTOL 324)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 GFK 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	F10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prother than the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>57-59 and 61-65</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,43-56,60 and 62</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2 and 3</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ION E ANG	GELL, PH.D.	
		SELL, PA.D. FYAMINED	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20070416

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claims are amended to include new limitations which were not present in the examined claims. These new limitations would require further search and consideration. For instance, proposed claim 1 includes the new limitation that the the amount of enhancer effective to enhance the intracellular delivery is about 0.01mM to 30mM when said enhancer has a carbon chain length of from 9 to 14 carbon atoms and 0.01mM to 120mM when said enhancer has a carbon chain length of 8 carbon atoms. This would clearly require further search and considerations, as Applicants assert that the prior art used in a 102 rejection does not teach this limitation. MPEP 714.13 states that Applicants cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, except when an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some way requires only cursory review by the examiner. Here, clearly the proposed amendment would require more than a "cursory review." Therefore, the present amendment will not be entered.

JON E ANGELL, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER